



ON TARGET

- NEWS HIGHLIGHTS
- BACKGROUND INFORMATION
- COMMONWEALTH AFFAIRS

The price of Freedom is eternal vigilance —

Registered By Australia Post — Publication No. VBH 0999

Vol.26, No.39

October 12th, 1990

THOUGHT FOR THE WEEK: "Every man differs physically and mentally from every other man, which accounts for both human individuality and group differences."

- Wilmot Robertson in The Dispossessed Majority

LET THE PEOPLE HAVE A SAY: In a wideranging address to the guests at the New Times Dinner, held in Melbourne last Friday, October 5th, R.S.L. leader Bruce Ruxton concluded by insisting that the Australian people must be given the opportunity to have a direct say on the immigration and multicultural issue. The Dinner signalled the launching of one of the biggest campaigns in the history of the League. The special October issue of The Intelligence Survey (bulk prices listed in Bulletin) with a voting form on the back page, started to flood out at the League's National Weekend. Those who missed this year's National Weekend are the poorer for it. The Dinner was a spiritual feast, with many highlights, one of the most moving being a presentation to Bruce Ruxton by Miss Jodi Maurer, Bruce Ruxton being visibly moved by an assurance that young Australians were starting to regard him as one of their heroes.

National Director, Eric Butler, was obviously astonished to learn that before he spoke, Mr. Bruce Ruxton was to present him with his war medals, which he had never got around to collecting. The three speakers at the Seminar provided a rich intellectual feast. All teachers, Dr. Fred Toben, Mr. Nigel Jackson and Mr. Barry Tattersall, made a tremendous impression on those who heard them. One person present observed, "These men are intellectual giants compared with many who call themselves teachers". Both audio and videotapes are available of three great addresses.

Sections of the media interviewed the National Director and the three speakers before the National Seminar started, and it was clear from some of the questions asked that many are watching the League carefully to see how it is responding to the new threat to have the movement investigated. National Director, Eric Butler, said that

the League's response to the threat to use legislation to prevent the distribution of what its enemies describe as "neo-Nazi" literature is to launch the biggest bookselling campaign in the history of the League. New special book catalogues for this purpose were released at the National Weekend.

Prime Minister Hawke and his advisers are now saying that there should be a "responsible" debate on the immigration and multicultural issues; which means a carefully controlled propaganda exercise masquerading as a debate. The decisive battle for the future of Australia is now under way, and the League is determined to play a major role in that battle. Governments are not going to provide the people with the opportunity to have a real say and a vote; the people must therefore organise their own vote. The 1990 National Weekend of the League of Rights will be seen in retrospect as one of the greatest historical significance. "Once more unto the breach dear friends, once more."

FINANCING THE BATTLE: In order to carry through and to sustain a battle which must be such that it will dominate the Australian political scene up until the next Federal elections, there must be adequate finance. Contrary to the allegations of the Boswells, Wrights and other politicians, the League of Rights has no other source of finance except that provided by patriotic Australians.

There has already been an encouraging response to a recent appeal sent out by the National Director to selected supporters, asking them to back the 1990-91 Basic Fund, which has been lifted from the \$65,000 raised last year, to \$73,000 for the coming year.

The League is painfully aware of the mounting economic pressure on League Members and supporters. The Hawke-Keating strategy is designed to drive down the standard of living of the Australian people to prepare them psychologically to accept as "inevitable" the alleged necessity for Japanese foreign investment and for Australia to surrender further its sovereignty to the internationalists. Australians have no alternative, if they are to survive as a free people, but to make the necessary effort to finance their own survival programme. Initial support for the Basic Fund has already carried it to \$23,000. This leaves another \$50,000 to be provided. The hour is very very late and we urge all our readers to carefully assess their personal situation and make a maximum contribution.

All contributions should be sent to Box 1052J, G.P.O., Melbourne.

Please do it NOW!

ANOTHER 'ORGANISED' PEARL HARBOUR? (This article from Jeremy Lee):

It is only comparatively recently that publicity has been given to the fact that President Roosevelt had advanced warning of Japan's invasion of Pearl Harbour on December 7th, 1941. How many of the 19 ships sunk, or the 2,300 people killed in the attack might have been spared had he passed that information to those in command can never be known. Rear Admiral Theobald's "The Final Secret of Pearl Harbour", which revealed the whole story, was ignored for many years by critics and the media, until it was finally felt that sufficient time had elapsed for the truth to be revealed.

Does the same situation exist in the Middle East crisis? Generally glossed over in the welter of sensation which passes for modern news is the fact that Saddam Hussein sought information on the possible U.S. reaction to the invasion of Kuwait prior to moving, and was told the State Department had no views on the matter. The Washington Post segment of The Guardian Weekly (September 23rd, 1990) carried reports of a meeting between Hussein and the U.S. Ambassador to Baghdad, April Glaspie:

"...U.S. Ambassador April Glaspie ... gently probed the Iraqi leader's intentions in massing troops on Kuwait's border, but did not criticise the Iraqi troop movements, according to the Iraqi transcript. The State Department did not challenge the authenticity of the transcript. Spokesman Richard Boucher declined to comment on specific remarks it contains.

"Iraq's version of the meeting shows Saddam giving Glaspie explicit warnings that he would take whatever action he deemed necessary to stop Kuwait from continuing an "economic war" against Iraq. Her response, as recorded by the Iraqis, was to reassure Saddam that the United States takes no official position on Iraq's border dispute with Kuwait. In response to Saddam's comments about Iraq's need for higher oil prices, the ambassador said: 'I know you need funds. We understand that and our opinion is that you should have the opportunity to rebuild your country. But we have no opinion on the Arab-Arab conflicts, like your border disagreement with Kuwait ... James Baker has directed our official spokesman to emphasise this instruction.' The disclosure of the transcript to Western news media, which originated with Iraqi officials, appears intended to emphasise that Saddam had reason to believe that the Bush administration would not offer any serious opposition to his move against Kuwait..." (emphasis added).

The article went on later: "... While the Iraqi transcript is disjointed in places, the substance of Glaspie's recorded remarks closely parallels official U.S. positions stated in Washington at

the same time, in which other State Department officials publicly disavowed any American security commitments to Kuwait. A career foreign service officer, Glaspie made a point of telling Saddam that she was acting under instructions from Washington in responding to him

Secretary of State James Baker, a successor to Henry Kissinger, and a colleague in the Rockefeller-founded Trilateral Commission, appears, if Ambassador Glaspie's claims are correct, to have set Hussein up. A number of possible objectives come to mind:

- (a) For the first time United States and Soviet military personnel have voluntarily submitted themselves to overall U.N. command. The principal office at the U.N. to take charge of this peace-keeping force is the Under Secretary-General for Political and Security Council Office Affairs - an office which has been the domain of the Soviets since the inception of the U.N.
- (b) The resultant massive increases in the price of oil - inflicted on all nations whether or not they are self-sufficient in oil through the World Parity Pricing Agreement - may produce sufficient chaos and breakdown to swing into existence a New World Order, comprising a United States of Europe including part of the U.S.S.R., a Pacific Basin Community dominated by Japan, and a Caribbean Bloc. The new Prime Minister of New Zealand, Mike Moore, is author of the book "The Pacific Parliament", which argues for a central parliament overseeing the vassal states of the Pacific Community.

Adding to the evidence that the Middle East Crisis was pre-planned with western compliance was a small and insignificant article in The Financial Review, 13/9/1990:

".... South Korea's Hyundai Construction and Engineering Co. is negotiating a deal to build barracks for U.S. troops stationed in Saudi Arabia in connection with the Persian Gulf crisis

It could be worth \$US400 million A key condition set by the U.S. required the barracks to be built within six months and that Hyundai was one of the few groups capable of meeting the requirement at a low cost

In light of this, any thought that the boys will be home by Christmas seems wishful thinking! (End of Jeremy Lee article)